

Regional Review Team *review & evaluate*

- ...each project individually based on how well the proposed project meets the criteria in 695-010-0060 (next page)

Regional Review Team *recommend* each project as:

- Do fund
- Do fund with conditions
- Do not fund
- Defer to staff or the Board with an explanation if there is a policy issue or budget issue that needs to be addressed

Regional Review Team *rank order* all recommended projects based on:

- How well project meets criteria in 695-010-0030: following preferences apply-
 - (1) *Projects that address altered watershed functions*
 - (2) *Projects that include removal or remediation of human-caused alterations*
 - (3) *Projects that change land management practices to address the causes of chronic disturbances to the watershed over projects that address only symptoms of disturbance*
 - (4) *Projects with direct evidence of collaboration between stakeholders and agencies over single-party projects*
 - (5) *Projects focusing on upslope and upstream treatments, unless the project addresses tidal-driven systems or addresses other specific issues (e.g. historic losses) that encompass whole watershed conditions*
- How well project meets criteria in 695-010-0060 (next page)
- Certainty of success based on organizational capacity of applicant & likelihood project will meet its ecological objectives
- Expected benefits to watershed functions, fish habitat or water quality
- Project costs relative to anticipated watershed health benefits

Staff review recommendations from each technical review team & make a ***statewide funding recommendation to the Board*** based on available resources for the grant offering.

RESTORATION
Evaluation Criteria
OAR 695-010-0060

